

## HERMITE-HADAMARD-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR EXPONENTIAL TYPE HARMONICALLY $M(\alpha, S)$ -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

**Kemi Iyabo Apanpa, Adesanmi Alao Mogbademu,  
and Johnson Olajire Olaleru**

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce a new form of convex mapping known as exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex function. The generalized convex function for the product of functions of exponential type Hermite-Hadamard inequalities are as well studied. Additionally, we investigate different cases of double and triple integrals to obtain some new products of this function.

### 1. Introduction

The theory of convex functions has become highly important in different areas of mathematics and their use in optimization and modern analysis can't be underrated. Their applications generalized and extended in different directions by several authors because of their adaptivity. For proper details, (see [1-20]). The generalization of classical convexity is known to have gotten so many applications out of which the Hermite-Hadamard inequality is mostly used. For example, Bital and Khan [8] introduced some new Hermite-Hadamard dual inequalities, Tariq et al. [24] introduced Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities for product of functions by using convex functions, Noor et al. [19] introduced  $(\alpha, m, h)$ -convex function and also found few fundamental inequalities for the class of twice-differentiable functions, Toader [23] introduced  $m$ -convex function and established some results

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28B05; Secondary 28B10, 28B15, 46G10.

*Key words and phrases.* Hermite-Hadamard, convexity functions, exponential-type convexity, harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions .

Communicated by Dusko Bogdanic.

on Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities. For further study on this, please refer to the stated articles ([7-23]), [16, 26].

Motivated by previous studies in literature, researchers were moved to establish another class of convexity known as exponential convexity which has been developed in several ways. For example, Dragomir and Gomm [10] introduced the concept of exponential type convexity, Awan et. al [2] introduced Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for exponential convex functions, Kadakal and Iscan [16] introduced exponential type convexity and some related inequalities. For proper study on exponential convexity, see [4] and its references. Propelled by the work of Tariq et al., we introduce exponential-type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions and establish Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities for functions of this class. Some special results are studied by introducing different cases of double and triple integrals on this functions. Our results generalize different classes of convexity functions, as exponential type convex function, harmonically  $p$ -function, harmonically  $(\alpha, s)$ -convex function, exponential type harmonically  $(\alpha, s)$ -convex function,  $(\alpha, m, h)$ -convex function and  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex functions.

## 2. Preliminaries

Some well known definitions which are related to our work are stated out in this section.

DEFINITION 2.1. ([2]) Let  $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a mapping, then  $f$  is  $m$ -convex if, for every  $x, y \in I, m \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$(2.1) \quad f(\lambda x + m(1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + m(1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

DEFINITION 2.2. ([18]) Let  $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a mapping, then  $f$  is  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex if, for every  $x, y \in I, (\alpha, m) \in [0, 1]^2$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$(2.2) \quad f(\lambda x + m(1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda^\alpha f(x) + (1 - \lambda^\alpha)f(y).$$

DEFINITION 2.3. ([19]) Let  $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a mapping, then  $f$  is  $(\alpha, m, h)$ -convex if, for all  $x, y \in I, \lambda \in [0, 1], (\alpha, m) \in [0, 1]^2$  and  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad f(\lambda x + m(1 - \lambda)y) \leq h(\lambda^\alpha f(x)) + mh(1 - \lambda^\alpha)f(y).$$

DEFINITION 2.4. [16] A nonnegative function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be exponential type convex, if for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$(2.4) \quad f(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x) \leq (e^{1-\lambda} - 1)f(x) + (e^\lambda - 1)f(y).$$

DEFINITION 2.5. ([14]) Let  $f : I \subset [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping and  $I$  be the real interval, then

$$(2.5) \quad f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y}\right) = \lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)f(x), \quad \forall x, y \in I \text{ and } \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

is called harmonically convex function.

DEFINITION 2.6. ([1]) A nonnegative function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be exponential type harmonically  $(\alpha, s)_h$ -convex function if  $\forall x, y \in I, h(\cdot) > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1]$

and  $(\alpha, s) \in (0, 1)$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}\right) &= f\left(\frac{\lambda}{x} + \frac{1-\lambda}{y}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq (e^{h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(x) + (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** ([20]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be nonnegative convex functions on  $[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ , suppose they are real valued. Then, the following inequalities hold*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{\phi_2 - \phi_1} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx \leq \frac{1}{3}M(\phi_1, \phi_2) + \frac{1}{6}N(\phi_1, \phi_2),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &2f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\phi_2 - \phi_1}\right) \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx + \frac{1}{6}M(\phi_1, \phi_2) + \frac{1}{3}N(\phi_1, \phi_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(\phi_1, \phi_2) = f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2), \quad N(\phi_1, \phi_2) = f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1).$$

**THEOREM 2.2.** ([25]). *Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are two functions, if*

$$(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \geq 0$$

holds for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be similarly ordered functions.

### 3. Main results

We define the following:

**DEFINITION 3.1.** A nonnegative function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex, if for all  $x, y \in I$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $m(\alpha, s) \in (0, 1]^2$

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda y + m(1-\lambda)x}\right) &= f\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{x} + \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{y}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(x) + (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

**REMARK 3.1.** Some notable results of exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions:

(1) If  $(e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1) = 1$ ,  $(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1) = 1$  and  $m = 1$  in inequality (3.1), we recover harmonically p-function [15].

(2) On the condition  $f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}\right) \leq f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)$ ,  $h = I$  giving

that  $\lambda > \lambda^2$ ,  $\lambda^2 \leq \lambda$  which implies  $h(\lambda) = \lambda$ ,  $\alpha = m = s = 1$  in inequality (3.1), then it becomes exponential type-convex function [16].

(3) If  $e^{h(a)} = h(a) + 1$ , which implies  $e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1 = h(\lambda^\alpha)^s$  and  $m = 1$  in inequality (3.1), then it gives the definition of harmonically  $(\alpha, s)$ -convex function [22].

(4) If  $m = 1$  in inequality (3.1), we recover exponential type harmonically  $(\alpha, s)_h$ -convex function in [1].

(5) If  $f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}\right) \leq f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)$  and  $e^{h(\cdot)} = h(\cdot) + 1 \Rightarrow e^{h(\cdot)} - 1 = h(\cdot)$

which implies  $e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1 = h(\lambda^\alpha)^s$  and  $e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1 = mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s$ ,

with  $s = 1$  in (3.1), we recover  $(\alpha, m, h)$ -convex function in [19].

(6) In addition to the stated conditions in (5), if  $h(\lambda) = \lambda$ ,  $h(1-\lambda) = 1-\lambda$  in (3.1), we recover  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex function in [25].

LEMMA 3.1. For all  $x, y \in I$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $e^{h(\cdot)} = h(\cdot) + 1$ , the following hold

$$(3.2) \quad f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}\right) \leq e^{h(\lambda)x + h(1-\lambda)y} - 1.$$

PROOF. We start by using left hand side of (11) to have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}\right) &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}{xy}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}{xy}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda \frac{1}{x} + (1-\lambda) \frac{1}{y}} \end{aligned}$$

take  $X = 1/x$  and  $Y = 1/y$ , it becomes

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda X + (1-\lambda)Y}\right) &\leq (\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \\ &\leq e^{h(\lambda)x + h(1-\lambda)y} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.4) \quad f\left(\frac{xy}{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x}\right) \leq e^{h(\lambda)x + h(1-\lambda)y} - 1.$$

□

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions on  $[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  being a nonnegative real-valued functions with

$fg \in [\phi_1, \phi_2]$ , where  $\phi_1, b \in I$  and  $\phi_1 < \phi_2$ ,  $e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1 \geq 0$ ,  $(e^a - 1)(e^b - 1) \leq e^{ab} - 1$ . Then, the following inequalities hold.

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{m\phi_1 - \phi_2} \int_{\phi_2}^{m\phi_1} f(x)g(x)dx \leq q(\phi_1\phi_2)p + q(\phi_1\phi_2)N + q(\phi_1, \phi_2)R,$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} q(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) \\ q(\phi_2, \phi_2) &= f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2) \\ q(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} p &= \int_0^1 (e^{[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)d\lambda \\ N &= \int_0^1 (e^{m^2[h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)d\lambda \\ R &= \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since  $f$  and  $g$  are exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions on  $[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ . It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} &f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \\ &\leq [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_2)] \\ &\times [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_2)] \\ &\leq [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)](f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)) \\ &+ [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)](f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2)) \\ &+ [(e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)](f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)) \\ &+ [(e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)](f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)). \end{aligned}$$

and this gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \\
& \leq (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)^2[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] \\
& \quad + (e^{m^2(h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s)^2} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)] \\
& \quad + (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2)] \\
& \quad + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)],
\end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \\
(3.8) \quad & \leq (e^{[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] \\
& \quad + (e^{m^2(h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s)^2} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)] \\
& \quad + (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating inequalities (3.8) on interval  $[0, 1]$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left[ f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \right] \\
& \leq \int_0^1 (e^{[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)]d\lambda \\
& \quad + \int_0^1 (e^{m^2(h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s)^2} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)]d\lambda \\
& \quad + \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

By proper substitution of (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left[ f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \right] \\
& \leq \int_0^1 (e^{[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)[q(\phi_1\phi_1)]d\lambda \\
& \quad + [q(\phi_2\phi_2)] \int_0^1 (e^{m^2(h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s)^2} - 1)d\lambda \\
& \quad + [q(\phi_1\phi_2)] \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

By appropriate substitution of (3.7), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^1 [f(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1})g(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1})]d\lambda \leq q(\phi_1\phi_1)p + q(\phi_2\phi_2)N + q(\phi_1\phi_2)R.$$

Taking  $x = \lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1$  and with the use of lemma 3.2 in inequalities (3.9), we have:

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{m\phi_1 - \phi_2} \int_{\phi_2}^{m\phi_1} f(x)g(x) \leq q(\phi_1\phi_1)p + q(\phi_2\phi_2)N + q(\phi_1\phi_2)R.$$

□

REMARK 3.2. (1) If  $m = \alpha = 1$ ,  $e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s + h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} \leq 1$  following the given conditions in theorem 3.1, inequality (3.5) gives the result obtained in [20].

(2) If  $h(\lambda) = I$  and  $\frac{xy}{\lambda y + (1-\lambda)x} \leq e^{h(\lambda)x + h(1-\lambda)y} - 1$  in theorem 3.1, inequality (3.5) gives the result obtained in theorem 2 of [19].

THEOREM 3.2. . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions, suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are similarly ordered functions and  $e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s + mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1 \leq 1$ ,  $e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s - 1} \geq 0$  and  $e^{h(a)} + e^{h(b)} \geq 2$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are positive functions. Then, the product  $fg$  is also exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex function.

PROOF. Since  $f$  and  $g$  are exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions, it follows

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1})g(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}) \\ & \leq [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_2)] \\ & \quad \times [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_2)] \end{aligned}$$

and this gives,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1})g(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}) \\ & \leq (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] \\ & \quad + (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2)] \\ & \quad + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)] \\ & \quad + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)(e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \\ & \leq (e^{h(\lambda^{2\alpha})^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] \\ & \quad + (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2)] \\ & \quad + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)] \\ & \quad + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^{2s}} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

By solving, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \\ & \leq (e^{h(\lambda^{2\alpha})^s} - 1)f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) \\ & \quad + (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)] \\ & \quad + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^{2s}} - 1)f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2), \end{aligned}$$

which further leads to,

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \\ & = (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1) \\ & \quad \times [f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)][(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)} - 1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Following the given conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad & f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \leq (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] \\ & \quad + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 3.3. If  $m = 1$  in theorem 3.2, it gives the result obtained in [1].

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions, if  $fg \in L[\phi_1, \phi_2]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + (1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \leq f(\lambda\phi_1 + (1-\lambda)\phi_2). \text{ Given } (e^a - 1)(e^b - 1) \leq e^{ab} - 1 \text{ with} \\ & (e^a - 1)(e^a - 1) \leq e^{a^2} - 1, \text{ where } a, b > 0. \text{ Then the following hold} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& 2p\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) \\
(3.13) \quad & \leq \int_0^1 f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)d\lambda \\
& + [Q(\phi_1\phi_1) + m^2Q(\phi_2\phi_2)]R + \frac{1}{2}[g(\phi_1\phi_2)]V.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad R &= \int_0^1 (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda \\
V &= \int_0^1 (e^{m[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1) + (e^{[h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) &= f(\phi_1)f(\phi_2) \\
Q(\phi_2, \phi_2) &= f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2) \\
Q(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since  $f$  and  $g$  are exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) &= f\left(\frac{\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2}{2}\right) + \frac{(1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2}{2} \times \\
& g\left(\frac{\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2}{2}\right) + \frac{(1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}[f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) + f((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)] \\
& \quad \times \frac{1}{4}[g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) + g((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) \\
& = \frac{1}{4}[f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\
& + f((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)g((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)] \\
& + \frac{1}{4}[f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2) \\
& + f((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)],
\end{aligned}$$

and this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}[f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\
& \quad + f((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)g((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4}[(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_2)] \\
& \quad \times [(e^{h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_2)] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}[f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\
& \quad + f((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)g((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4}(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] \\
& \quad + (e^{m[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2)] \\
& \quad + (e^{m[h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)] \\
& \quad + (e^{m^2 h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}[f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\
(3.17) & \quad + f((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)g((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2}[(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)](f(\phi_1)f(\phi_2) + m^2 f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4}[(e^{m[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1) + (e^{[h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)](F(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.17) on  $[0, 1]$ , gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 [f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\
 & \quad + f((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)g((1-\lambda)\phi_1 + m\lambda\phi_2)] \\
 (3.18) \quad & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1) [f(\phi_1)f(\phi_2) + m^2 f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)] \\
 & + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 [(e^{m[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1) + (e^{h[(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)] d\lambda \\
 & + [f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2)f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.14) and (3.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) d\lambda \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} [Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) + m^2 Q(\phi_2, \phi_2)]R + \frac{1}{4} [Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)]V.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $x = \lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2$ , result to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.19) \quad & 2f\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right)g\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) \leq \left[\frac{1}{\phi_2 - \phi_1} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x) d\lambda\right. \\
 & \left. + [Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) + m^2 Q(\phi_2, \phi_2)]R + \frac{1}{2} [Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)]V\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 3.4. (1) If  $e^{h(a)} = h(a) + 1$  and  $s = 1$  in theorem 3.3, then it reduces to inequality (14) of [19].

(2) If we take  $s = 0$  and  $e^{h(a)} = h(a) + 1$  in theorem 3.3, the following results for exponential type harmonically  $p$ -convex functions will be obtain

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two exponential type harmonically  $p$ -convex*

*functions. If  $fg \in L[\phi_1, \phi_2]$  and  $f\left(\frac{\phi_1\phi_2}{\lambda\phi_2 + (1-\lambda)\phi_1}\right) \leq f(\lambda\phi_1 + (1-\lambda)\phi_2)$ ,*

where  $(e^a - 1)(e^b - 1) \leq e^{ab} - 1$  with  $(e^a - 1)(e^a - 1) \leq e^{a^2} - 1$ . Then,

$$(3.20) \quad 2p\left(\frac{\phi_1 + m\phi_2}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)d\lambda \\ + Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) + m^2Q(\phi_2, \phi_2) + \frac{1}{2}Q(\phi_1, \phi_2),$$

where,  $Q(\phi_1, \phi_1)$ ,  $Q(\phi_2, \phi_2)$  and  $Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  are as stated in theorem 3.2 .

**THEOREM 3.4.** Let  $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions. Where  $a, b \in I$  with  $a < b$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $(e^a - 1)(e^b - 1) \leq e^{ab} - 1$ ,  $e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} = 0$ . If  $fg \in L[a, b]$ . Then

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{1}{m\phi_2 - \phi_1} \int_{\phi_1}^{m\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx \leq \xi_1\mu_1 + \xi_2\mu_2 + \xi_3\mu_3,$$

where

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) \\ \xi_2 &= f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2) \\ \xi_3 &= f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= \int_0^1 (e^{h(\lambda^{2\alpha})^s} - 1)d\lambda \\ \mu_2 &= \int_0^1 (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^{2s}} - 1)d\lambda \\ \mu_3 &= \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** Assume  $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be an exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions, then

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\ & \leq [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(\phi_2)] \\ & \times [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(\phi_2)] \\ & = (e^{h(\lambda^{2\alpha})^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1)] + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^{2s}} - 1)[f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2)] \\ & + (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.24) on interval  $[0, 1]$  gives,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\ & \leq \int_0^1 (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^{2s}} - 1)]f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2) \\ & \quad + \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\ (3.25) \leq & f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) \int_0^1 (e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2) \int_0^1 (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda \\ & + [f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)] \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 f(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2)g(\lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2) \\ (3.26) \leq & \xi_1 \int_0^1 (e^{h(\lambda^{2\alpha})^s} - 1)d\lambda + \xi_2 \int_0^1 (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^{2s}} - 1)d\lambda \\ & + \xi_3 \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $x = \lambda\phi_1 + m(1-\lambda)\phi_2$ , result to

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{1}{m\phi_2 - \phi_1} \int_{\phi_1}^{m\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx \leq \xi_1\mu_1 + \xi_2\mu_2 + \xi_3\mu_3.$$

□

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions, if  $fg \in L[\phi_1, \phi_2]$  and  $(e^a - 1)(e^b - 1) \leq e^{ab} - 1$ . Then, the following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\phi_2 - \phi_1)^2} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_0^1 f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)d\lambda dy dx \\ (3.28) \leq & (P + T) \frac{1}{\phi_2 - \phi_1} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x)ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\phi_2 - \phi_1)^2} J[Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) + Q(\phi_2, \phi_2) + Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P &= \int_0^1 (e^{[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1) d\lambda \\
 T &= \int_0^1 (e^{m^2[h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1) d\lambda \\
 J &= \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1) d\lambda \\
 Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) &= f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.29}$$

and

$Q(\phi_2, \phi_2)$ ,  $Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  are as stated in theorem 3.4.

PROOF. Since  $f, g$  are exponential type harmonically  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convex functions, using the exponential type  $m(\alpha, s)$ -convexity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y) \\
 &\leq [(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(x) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)f(y)][(e^{h(\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(x) + (e^{mh(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)g(y)].
 \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y) \\
 (3.30) \quad &= (e^{[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)[f(x)g(x)] + (e^{m^2[h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1)[f(y)g(y)] \\
 &+ (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1)[f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.30) on  $[0, 1]$ , gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y) d\lambda \\
 &\leq [f(x)g(x)] \int_0^1 (e^{[h(\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1) d\lambda \\
 &+ [f(x)g(y)] \int_0^1 (e^{m^2[h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s]^2} - 1) d\lambda \\
 &+ [f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x)] \int_0^1 (e^{mh(\lambda^\alpha)^s h(1-\lambda^\alpha)^s} - 1) d\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.31) \quad &\int_0^1 f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y) d\lambda \\
 &\leq P[f(x)g(x)] + T[f(y)g(y)] + J[f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.31) on the rectangle  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_0^1 f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)d\lambda dy dx \\
 (3.32) \quad & \leq P(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx + T(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(y)g(y)dy \\
 & + J[\int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)dx \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} g(y)dy + \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(y)dy \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} g(x)dx].
 \end{aligned}$$

By the application of Hermite-Hadamard's inequality from right half, the result becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_0^1 f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)d\lambda dy dx \\
 & \leq [P + T](\phi_2 - \phi_1) \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx + \frac{1}{2}J[f(\phi_1)g(\phi_1) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_2) \\
 (3.33) & + f(\phi_1)g(\phi_2) + f(\phi_2)g(\phi_1)]. \\
 & = [P + T](\phi_2 - \phi_1) \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx \\
 & + \frac{1}{2}T[Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) + Q(\phi_2, \phi_2) + Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)].
 \end{aligned}$$

thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(\phi_2 - \phi_1)^2} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_0^1 f(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)g(\lambda x + m(1-\lambda)y)d\lambda dy dx \\
 (3.34) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{\phi_2 - \phi_1} [P + T] \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} f(x)g(x)dx + \frac{1}{(\phi_2 - \phi_1)^2} \\
 & J[Q(\phi_1, \phi_1) + Q(\phi_2, \phi_2) + Q(\phi_1, \phi_2)].
 \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. Applications

In this section, we made an application to some special means.

(1) The geometric mean:

$$(4.1) \quad GM = \sqrt[n]{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}$$

which equally can be written as,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} GM &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^{1/n} \\ \log GM &= \frac{1}{n} \log(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

In short, we have

$$(4.3) \quad GM = GM(xy) = \sqrt{xy}, \quad x \neq y.$$

(2) The logarithmic mean:

$$(4.4) \quad LM = L(x, y) = \frac{x - y}{\ln x - \ln y}, \quad \forall x, y > 0.$$

(3) The power mean:

$$M_p = M_p(x, y) = \left(\frac{x^p + y^p}{2}\right)^{1/p}, \quad \forall x, y > 0 \text{ and for all real number } p \neq 0.$$

### References

1. K.I. Apanpa, A.A. Mogbademu, and J.O. Olaleru, Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities for Exponential type Harmonically  $(\alpha, s)_h$ -convex Functions. *Accepted*.
2. M. Awan, M. Noor, and K. Noor, Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities for exponentially convex functions. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **12** (2018), 405-409.
3. M.K. Bakula and J.E. Pecaric, Note on Some Hermite-Hadamard-type Inequalities. *J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math.*, Article 74 **5(3)** 2004.
4. M.K. Bakula, M. E. Ozdemir, and J. Pecaric, Hadamard type inequalities for  $m$ -convex and  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex functions. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No 4, **9** (2008), 12 pages.
5. E.F. Beckenbach, Convex functions. *Bull. Anner. Math. Soc.* **54**(1948), 439-460.
6. M. Bilal and A.R. Khan, On Some New Hermite-Hadamard Dual Inequalities. *JMCMS*, **16(7)** (2021), 93-98.
7. M. Bilal, M. Imtiaz, A.R. Khan, I.U. Khan, and M. Zafran, Generalized Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities for S-convex functions in the mixed kind. *British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*, (2021) (submitted).
8. M. Bilal and A.R. Khan, New Hermite-Hadamard Dual Inequality for S-p-convex functions in the mixed kind. *Bull. Karag. Univ* (2022), Accepted.
9. R. F. Bai, F. Qi, and B. Y. Xi, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for the  $m$ -convex and  $(\alpha, m)$ -logarithmically convex functions. *Filomat*, No 1, **27**(2013), 1-7 .
10. S. Dragomir and I. Gomm, Some Hermite-Hadamard type Inequalities for functions whose exponentials are convex. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolya, Math.* **60** (2015), 527-534.
11. B.O. Fagbemiun and A.A. Mogbademu, Some Classes of Convex Functions on Time Scales. *Facta Universitatis (NIS) Ser Math Inform.* **35** (2020), 11-28.
12. B.O. Fagbemiun, A.A. Mogbademu, and J.O. Olaleru, Doble Integral Inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard-type for Functions on Linear Spaces. *Research Group in Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, **22**(2019), 11.
13. I. Iscan, New estimates on generalization of some integral inequalities for  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex functions. *Contemporary Analysis and Applied Mathematics*, No 32, No 3, **1** (2012), 247-262.
14. I. Iscan, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions. *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, No 6, **43** (2014), 953-942.
15. I. Iscan, S. Numan, and K. Bekar, Hermite-Hadamard and Simpson type inequalities for differentiable harmonically P-convex functions. *British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*, **4** (2014), 1908-1920.



16. M. Kadakal and I. Iscan, Exponential type Convexity and Some related inequalities. *J. Ineq. Appl.*, Article 82 (2020), 9 pages.
17. F. Mehmood, A.R. Khan, M. Khan, and M.A. Shaikh, Generalization of Some Weighted Cebysev-Type Inequalities. *Journal of Mechanics of Continua and Mechanical Sciences*, **15** (2020), 10.26782.
18. V. G. Mihasan, A generalization of the convexity, in proceedings of the Seminar on Functional Equations Approximation. *Cluj-Napoca Romania*, 1973.
19. M.A. Noor, M. U. Awan, K. I. Noor, and T.M. Rassias, On  $(\alpha, m, h)$ -Convexity, *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences Letters*, No 1, **12** (2018), 145-150.
20. B.G. Pachpatte, On some inequalities for convex functions. *RGMI*, **6** (2003).
21. O.O Peter and A.A Mogbademu, Hermite-Hadamard type Inequalities for a new Class of Harmonically Convex Functions. *Note di Matematica*, **38** (2018), 23-33.
22. S. Ozcan, Some Integral Inequalities for Harmonically  $(\alpha, s)$ -Convex Functions. *Journal of Function Spaces*, **2019**.
23. G. Toader, Some generalization of convexity approximation in proceedings of the Colloquium on Approximation and Optimization. *Technical University of Cluj-Napoca Romania*, 1985.
24. N. Tariq, M.M. Asif, and J. Kavikumar, Hermite-Hadamard-Type Inequalities for Product of Functions by Using Convex Functions. *Hindawi Journal of Mathematics*, Article ID 6630411 (2021), 1-9.
25. S. Varosane, On h-convexity. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, No 1, textbf326 (2007), 303-311.
26. S. H. Wang, B.Y. Xi, and F. Qi, On Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex functions, *International Journal of Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics*, No 4, **5** (2012), 47-56.
27. H.P. Yin and F. Qi, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for product of  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex functions. *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, **8** (2015), 231-236.

Received by editors 1.7.2024; Revised version 9.11.2024; Available online 30.11.2024.

KEMI IYABO APANPA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF JOS, JOS, NIGERIA  
Email address: iyaboa@unijos.edu.ng

ADESANMI ALAO MOGBADEMU, UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS, AKOKA, LAGOS, NIGERIA  
Email address: amogbademu@unilag.edu.ng

JOHNSON OLAJIRE OLALERU, UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS, AKOKA, LAGOS, NIGERIA  
Email address: jolaleru@unilag.edu.ng